


11/01/00

11-02-00

A

11/01/00
09/104068
11/01/00

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL (Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))	<i>Title of Invention</i>	System and Method for Delegation in a Project Management Context
	<i>Named Inventor(s)</i>	Francis Robert Burianek, Tanasak Huangyutitham, Ying Wang and Izi Behar
	<i>Attorney Docket</i>	06576.105025 - MS No. 150514.1
	<i>Express Mail Label No.</i>	EF045414206US

APPLICATION ELEMENTS	Assistant Commissioner of Patents ADDRESS TO: Box Patent Application Washington, D.C. 20231
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form (Submit an original, and a duplicate for fee processing)	9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))
2. <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.	10. <input type="checkbox"/> 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement (when there is an assignee)
3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specification, Claims, and Abstract Total Pages 19	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney by assignee
4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drawings Total Sheets 12	11. <input type="checkbox"/> English Translation Document (if applicable)
5. Oath or Declaration Total Pages 4	12. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) PTO-1449
a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Newly executed (original or copy)	<input type="checkbox"/> Copies of IDS Citations
b. <input type="checkbox"/> Copy from prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d)) (for continuation/divisional with Box 17 completed)	13. <input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary Amendment
(i) <input type="checkbox"/> DELETION OF INVENTOR(S) Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 CFR 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).	14. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503) (Should be specifically itemized)
6. <input type="checkbox"/> Application Data Sheet. See 37 CFR 1.76.	15. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
7. <input type="checkbox"/> CD-ROM or CD-R in duplicate, large table or Computer Program (Appendix)	16. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Checks \$710.00 and \$40.00
8. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission (if applicable, all necessary)	
a. <input type="checkbox"/> Computer Readable Form (CRF)	
b. Specification Sequence Listing on:	
i. <input type="checkbox"/> CD-ROM or CD-R (2 copies); or	
ii. <input type="checkbox"/> paper	
c. <input type="checkbox"/> Statement verifying identity of above copies	
17. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box and supply the requisite information: <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation <input type="checkbox"/> Divisional <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No: Prior application information: Examiner: Group/Art Unit: For CONTINUATION OR DIVISIONAL APPS only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which a copy of the oath or declaration is supplied under Box 5b, is considered as being part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.	
18. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS: W. Scott Petty, Esq. KING & SPALDING 45 th Floor 191 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 By:  Reg. No. 35,645 Date: November 1, 2000 Telephone: 404.572.4600 Facsimile: 404.572.5145 Customer No. 20786	

FEE TRANSMITTAL

Attorney Docket No. 06576.105025 - MS No. 150514.1

This sheet accompanies a patent application transmittal for the following application:

Inventor(s): Francis Robert Burianek, Tanasak Haungyutitham, Ying Wang and Izi Behar
Filing Date: November 1, 2000
Title: System and Method for Delegation in a Project Management Context

The filing fee is calculated as shown below:

1. FILING FEE:

SMALL ENTITY			LARGE ENTITY	
FOR:	FEE	FEE PAID	FEE	FEE PAID
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UTILITY FILING FEE	\$355		\$710	\$710
<input type="checkbox"/> DESIGN FILING FEE	\$160		\$320	
<input type="checkbox"/> PLANT FILING FEE	\$245		\$490	
<input type="checkbox"/> REISSUE FILING FEE	\$355		\$710	
<input type="checkbox"/> PROVISIONAL FILING FEE	\$75		\$150	
SUBTOTAL (1)		\$xxx		\$710

2. CLAIMS:

SMALL ENTITY				LARGE ENTITY		
FOR:	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA	RATE	FEE	RATE	FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	18 - 20 =	0	x 9 =		x 18 =	0
INDEP. CLAIMS	3 - 3 =	0	x 40 =		x 80 =	0
<input type="checkbox"/> MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENTED			+135 =		+270 =	
SUBTOTAL (2)				\$xxx		\$0

3. ADDITIONAL FEES:


SMALL ENTITY			LARGE ENTITY	
FOR:	FEE	FEE PAID	FEE	FEE PAID
<input type="checkbox"/> LATE FILING, FEE OR OATH	\$65		\$130	
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-ENGLISH SPECIFICATION	\$130		\$130	
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER				
SUBTOTAL (3)		\$xxx		\$xxx

TOTAL FILING FEES: \$710

A check is enclosed for the total amount: \$710

☐ Charge any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account 11-0980.

KING & SPALDING
45th Floor, 191 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Telephone: 404.572.4600

By: 
W. Scott Petty, Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 35,645

Date: November 1, 2000

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DELEGATION IN A PROJECT MANAGEMENT CONTEXT

TECHNICAL FIELD

5

The present invention is generally directed to project collaboration in a team environment. More specifically, the present invention provides a project management software module which can enable collaboration among workers by the sharing and delegation of tasks.

10 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15

Large projects generally involve a manager, who oversees the project, and many workers, also referred to as resources, who perform smaller tasks within the project. In such a context, a project manager will often need to delegate tasks to various resources. In a large project, resources may also want the ability to further define, divide, and/ or delegate tasks to other resources, creating a hierarchy of delegation. The project manager may want to control approval of the further delegation of a task. Hierarchical delegation may also lead to the creation of intermediate managers, called team leaders. Thus, it is desirable, particularly in large projects, to be able to monitor which resource is working on a particular task and the current status of that task.

20

25

Existing project management software modules do not enable managers to monitor complex levels of task delegation and do not support the easy flow of tasks among resources as a project progresses. The conventional approach is one of simple delegation where a project manager performs the delegating and passes tasks to a resource. However, requiring the project manager to be involved in every assignment of a task is inefficient and cumbersome. Moreover, the inability to transfer responsibility for, or ownership of, a task further hinders collaboration and productivity.

30

In view of the foregoing, there is a need in the art for a system which will support the delegation of tasks and facilitate collaboration among resources in the context of a project. Specifically, a need exists in the art for a system which will allow a project manager to choose the level of control and scrutiny she will have over the delegation of tasks. A further need exists which will enable resources to further define, subdivide, and delegate tasks. There is also a need to maintain a record of, among other things, the tasks, the delegations, and which resources are working on the tasks.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is generally directed to a feature in a project management software module which can enable collaboration among workers. This collaboration occurs through the sharing of smaller tasks among the resources involved in a larger project. Responsibility for tasks can be delegated from a project manager to resources working on a project by assigning task ownership. The ability to have tasks transferred from a resource to any other resource distinguishes the invention from traditional project management. As a project progresses, tasks can be easily transferred from one manager to another. The feature can accommodate varying levels of manager control over the delegation process from a strictly monitored approach to a flexible, highly collaborative one.

As noted above, existing project management software does not enable collaboration and the sharing of tasks among resources. The conventional approach is one of simple delegation where a project manager passes tasks to a resource. In contrast, the present invention allows resources to delegate tasks to other resources, but the project manager can maintain full ownership of the tasks, meaning she can approve or reject any delegation. The invention also can allow for more complex vertical delegation which creates middle roles such as team leads and resource managers. Vertical delegation also permits tasks to be further defined or expanded as they are delegated and as the project progresses. By integrating the delegation feature in a distributed computer network, such as the Internet, a user can control the flow of tasks on her end of the application.

The present invention operates in a distributed computing environment. The invention enables delegation of a task whereby a first resource, the delegator, sends a signal concerning the delegation to a computer server. A second resource, the delegatee, and a project manager can access the server and retrieve delegation information. The server also sends the delegation information to the project manager and to a storage device which records information about each task that is delegated. The delegatee may choose to reject the delegation, whereby a rejection signal would be sent to the computer server and a record of the delegation rejection would be stored in the storage device. When the delegator and the project manager access the computer server, they can receive the delegation rejection information. The project manager may also reject the delegation by sending a rejection message to the server and having the information

stored in the storage device. The delegator and delegatee can access the computer server to receive the rejection information. The project manager may obtain updated information about each of the project tasks by sending a request to the server which retrieves the information from the database.

5 The system also permits a delegatee to subdivide a task and further delegate it to a third resource. In this context the second resource becomes the delegator and the third resource becomes the delegatee. This delegation is accomplished in the same manner as previously described whereby the delegator sends a signal to the computer server and information about the delegation is stored in the storage device. The delegatee can then receive the delegation
10 information from the server.

The present invention can also employ a unique database structure for assembling information about all delegations which take place. Every task can be given an identification number and each time a task is delegated, that delegation can be given an identification number. The task and delegation numbers can be organized in table format for tracking the status and
15 history of tasks.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating the operating environment for an exemplary
20 embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 2 is a functional block diagram illustrating the architecture of a distributed computer network in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 3A is a logic flow diagram illustrating an exemplary process for horizontal delegation.

25 FIG. 3B is a logic flow diagram illustrating a detailed exemplary process concerning resource client acceptance of a delegation.

FIG. 3C is a logic flow diagram illustrating a detailed exemplary process concerning project manager client approval of a delegation.

30 FIG. 4 is a logic flow diagram illustrating a detailed exemplary process of the update function.

FIG. 5 is a logic flow diagram illustrating an exemplary process for automatic approval of a delegation.

FIG. 6A is a logic flow diagram illustrating an exemplary process for vertical delegation.

FIG. 6B is a logic flow diagram illustrating a detailed exemplary process concerning resource client acceptance of a delegation.

FIG. 6C is a logic flow diagram illustrating a detailed exemplary process concerning project manager client approval of a delegation.

FIG. 7 is a logic flow diagram illustrating an exemplary process for vertical delegation with a team lead.

FIGS. 8A, 8B, 8C, and 8D, collectively described as FIG. 8, are diagrams of database structures for an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE EXEMPLARY EMBODIMENTS

The present invention allows for the transfer of smaller tasks within a larger project from a project manager client to resource clients and from one resource client to another resource client. In the latter situation, the first resource client may delegate a task to a second resource client and assume the role of an intermediate manager, also called a team leader. In another variation a team leader may subdivide a task and delegate some or all of the subdivisions. The delegating client, be it a project manager client or a resource client, has the option of retaining a copy of the delegated task and monitoring its progress. Furthermore, the project manager client may allow automatic approval of all delegations. All data concerning the delegation and status of tasks is stored in a database. This information may be requested by the project manager client. These delegation options enable greater collaboration among the project manager client and the resource clients. This flexibility is also useful because projects change as they progress.

Although the exemplary embodiments will be generally described in the context of software modules running in a distributed computing environment, those skilled in the art will recognize that the present invention also can be implemented in conjunction with other program modules for other types of computers. In a distributed computing environment, program modules may be physically located in different local and remote memory storage devices. Execution of the program modules may occur locally in a stand-alone manner or remotely in a client/server manner. Examples of such distributed computing environments include local area networks of an office, enterprise-wide computer networks, and the global Internet.

The detailed description which follows is represented largely in terms of processes and symbolic representations of operations in a distributed computing environment by conventional computer components, including remote file servers, remote computer servers, remote memory storage devices, a central processing unit (CPU), memory storage devices for the CPU, display devices and input devices. Each of these conventional distributed computing components is accessible by the CPU via a communications network.

The processes and operations performed by the computer include the manipulation of signals by a CPU or remote server and the maintenance of these signals within data structures resident in one or more of the local or remote memory storage devices. Such data structures impose a physical organization upon the collection of data stored within a memory storage device and represent specific electrical or magnetic elements. These symbolic representations are the means used by those skilled in the art of computer programming and computer construction to most effectively convey teachings and discoveries to others skilled in the art.

Referring now to the drawings, in which like numerals represent like elements throughout the several figures, aspects of the present invention and the preferred operating environment will be described.

FIG. 1 illustrates various aspects of an exemplary computing environment in which the present invention is designed to operate. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that FIG. 1 and the associated discussion are intended to provide a brief, general description of the preferred computer hardware and program modules, and that additional information is readily available in the appropriate programming manuals, user's guides, and similar publications.

FIG. 1 illustrates a conventional personal computer 10 suitable for supporting the operation of the preferred embodiment of the present invention. As shown in FIG. 1, the personal computer 10 operates in a networked environment with logical connections to a remote computer 11. The logical connections between the personal computer 10 and the remote computer 11 are represented by a local area network 12 and a wide area network 13. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that in this client/server configuration, the remote computer 11 may function as a file server or computer server.

The personal computer 10 includes a central processing unit (CPU) 14, such as "PENTIUM" microprocessors manufactured by Intel Corporation of Santa Clara, Calif. The personal computer also includes system memory 15, including read only memory (ROM) 16 and

random access memory (RAM) 17, which is connected to the CPU 14 by a system bus 18. The preferred computer 10 utilizes a BIOS 19, which is stored in ROM 16. Those skilled in the art will recognize that the BIOS 19 is a set of basic routines that helps to transfer information between elements within the personal computer 10. Those skilled in the art will also appreciate that the present invention may be implemented on computers having other architectures, such as computers that do not use a BIOS, and those that utilize other microprocessors.

Within the personal computer 10, a local hard disk drive 20 is connected to the system bus 18 via a hard disk drive interface 21. A floppy disk drive 22, which is used to read or write a floppy disk 23, is connected to the system bus 18 via a floppy disk drive interface 24. A CD-ROM or DVD drive 25, which is used to read a CD-ROM or DVD disk 26, is connected to the system bus 18 via a CD-ROM or DVD interface 27. A user enters commands and information into the personal computer 10 by using input devices, such as a keyboard 28 and/or pointing device, such as a mouse 29, which are connected to the system bus 18 via a serial port interface 30. Other types of pointing devices (not shown in FIG. 1) include track pads, track balls, pens, head trackers, data gloves and other devices suitable for positioning a cursor on a computer monitor 31. The monitor 31 or other kind of display device is connected to the system bus 18 via a video adapter 32.

The remote computer 11 in this networked environment is connected to a remote memory storage device 33. This remote memory storage device 33 is typically a large capacity device such as a hard disk drive, CD-ROM or DVD drive, magneto-optical drive or the like. The personal computer 10 is connected to the remote computer 11 by a network interface 34, which is used to communicate over the local area network 12.

As shown in FIG. 1, the personal computer 10 is also connected to the remote computer 11 by a modem 35, which is used to communicate over the wide area network 13, such as the Internet. The modem 35 is connected to the system bus 18 via the serial port interface 30. The modem 35 also can be connected to the public switched telephone network (PSTN) or community antenna television (CATV) network. Although illustrated in FIG. 1 as external to the personal computer 10, those of ordinary skill in the art can recognize that the modem 35 may also be internal to the personal computer 11, thus communicating directly via the system bus 18. It is important to note that connection to the remote computer 11 via both the local area network

12 and the wide area network 13 is not required, but merely illustrates alternative methods of providing a communication path between the personal computer 10 and the remote computer 11.

Although other internal components of the personal computer 10 are not shown, those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that such components and the interconnection between them are well known. Accordingly, additional details concerning the internal construction of the personal computer 10 need not be disclosed in connection with the present invention.

Those skilled in the art will understand that program modules such as an operating system 36, project software module 37, other software modules 38, and data are provided to the personal computer 10 via computer-readable media. In the preferred computer, the computer-readable media include the local or remote memory storage devices, which may include the local hard disk drive 20, floppy disk 23, CD-ROM or DVD 26, RAM 17, ROM 16, and the remote memory storage device 33. In the preferred personal computer 10, the local hard disk drive 20 is used to store data and programs, including the operating system and programs.

Referring now to FIG. 2, an exemplary architecture of the present invention will be described. FIG. 2 illustrates an exemplary system 200 for delegating tasks in a project management context. The system 200 includes a project management central server 215 that directs signals sent to and from the components of the distributed computing environment. The project management central server 215 may further comprise an Internet information server 225 that translates messages sent as an Active Server Page (ASP) to HyperText Markup Language (HTML). The project management central server 215 may also contain a delegation component 220 which sends and retrieves information about project tasks stored in a database 210. The Internet information server 225 transmits messages to clients. Clients typically comprise a project manager client 230, from which the project is supervised, and resource clients 235, 240, and 245, where work is done on particular tasks.

Horizontal delegation of a task, from peer to peer, can be initiated by the resource 1 client 240. A delegation signal is sent from the resource 1 client 240 to the Internet information server 225, where it is processed and forwarded to the project management central server 215. The delegation information is sent to the delegation component 220 which stores the information in the database 210. When the delegatee, which in this example is the resource 2 client 235, and the project manager client 230 access the project management central server 215, they can receive the delegation information. Vertical delegation of a task is accomplished in a similar

manner, but involves delegation between a lead resource (the delegator) and a subordinate resource (delegatee).

FIGS. 3A, 3B, and 3C are logic flow diagrams illustrating exemplary steps for horizontal delegation of a task from resource 1 client 240 to resource 2 client 235. A process 300 begins at step 305 with the project manager client 230 authorizing the delegation of tasks among resource clients. In step 310, the project manager client 230 sends a delegation authorization command to the project management central server 215 via a computer network. The delegation authorization command is processed by the Internet information server 225 and sent to the project management central server 215. The project management central server 215 communicates the delegation authorization command to the delegation component 220. In step 315, the resource 1 client 240 can then initiate a delegation of a task to the resource 2 client 235. The delegation message is sent from the resource 1 client 240 to the Internet information server 225, which forwards it to the project management central server 215. In step 320, the project management central server 215 sends the delegation message to the delegation component 220 and the new task ownership information is forwarded to the database 210. In step 325, the Internet information server 225 communicates the delegation information to the resource 2 client 235 and the project manager client 230.

In step 330, the resource 2 client 235 decides whether to accept or reject the delegation of the task. If the resource 2 client 235 accepts the task, then the "yes" branch is followed to step 335 and the task remains with the resource 2 client 235. If the response at step 330 is negative, then the "no" branch is followed to step 340. In step 340, the resource 2 client 235 sends the delegation rejection message to the Internet information server 225, which processes the message and forwards it to the project management central server 215. In step 345 the delegation rejection message is sent to the delegation component 220 and the new task ownership data is sent to the database 210. In step 350 the Internet information server 225 communicates the delegation rejection to the resource 1 client 240 and to the project manager client 230.

In step 360, the project manager client 230 decides whether to approve or reject the delegation of the task. If the response at step 360 is negative, the "no" branch is followed to step 375, where the project manager client 230 sends a delegation rejection message to the Internet information server 225. The Internet information server 225 processes the message and

forwards it to the project management central server **215**. In step **380**, the project management central server **215** forwards the delegation rejection message to the delegation component **220**, which sends the new task ownership information to the database **210**. In step **385**, the Internet information server **225** communicates the delegation rejection to the resource 1 client **240** and the resource 2 client **235**.

If the response at step **360** is affirmative, the “yes” branch is followed to step **365** and the task remains with the resource 2 client **235**. In step **370**, the project manager client **230** may request an update for all of the project data.

FIG. 3 illustrates a horizontal delegation of a task from the resource 1 client **240** to the resource 2 client **235** which is communicated over the distributed computing environment. If the resource 2 client **235** or the project manager client **230** should reject the delegation, this would be communicated over the distributed computing environment and ownership for the task would return to the resource 1 client **240**. The database **210** maintains a record of all delegations and the ownership of all tasks.

FIG. 4 is a logic flow diagram illustrating an update process for providing the project manager client **230** with the most current information on all tasks in a project. In step **370** (FIG. 3C), the project manager client **230** sends the update request to the Internet information server **225**, where the request is processed and sent to the project management central server **215**. The functions completed in step **370** are described below in connection with FIG. 4. At step **410**, the project management central server **215** communicates with the delegation component **220**, which retrieves the task data information from the database **210**. At step **415**, the task data information is converted into a format that can ultimately be read by the software module operating on the project manager client **230**. This converted data is sent by the Internet information server **225** to the project manager client **230**. At step **420**, the new task data is loaded into the software module operating on the project manager client **230**.

The update process provides the project manager client **230** with access to the most current information about the progress of the project. With this information the project manager client **230** can find out, among other things, what resource client is working on a particular task and what the status of the task is.

FIG. 5 is a logic flow diagram showing the process by which a project manager client can authorize automatic approval of delegations, leaving acceptance of a delegation solely up to

the resource client receiving the delegated task. In step **510**, the project manager client **230** sends the automatic approval command to the Internet information server **225**, which processes the command and sends it to the project management central server **215**. In step **515**, the project management central server **215** is set for automatic approval of task delegation. In step **520**, the resource 1 client **240** sends a delegation message to the Internet information server **225**, where it is processed and sent to the project management central server **215**. In step **525**, the project management central server **215** sends the delegation message to the delegation component **220**, which, in turn, sends the delegation information to the database **210**. In step **530**, the Internet information server **225** communicates the task delegation to the resource 2 client **235** and to the project manager client **230**.

At step **535** the resource 2 client **235** decides whether to accept the delegation of the task. If the resource 2 client **235** chooses to accept the delegation, the “yes” branch is followed to step **555** and the task remains with the resource 2 client **235**. If the resource 2 client **235** declines the delegation, the “no” branch is followed to step **540** and a delegation rejection message is sent to the Internet information server **225**. The Internet information server **225** processes the delegation rejection message and forwards it to the project management central server **215**. At step **545**, the project management central server **215** communicates the delegation rejection to the delegation component **220** and the information is sent to the database **210**. The Internet information server **225** communicates the rejection to the resource 1 client **240** and to the project manager client **230**.

FIGS. **6A**, **6B**, and **6C** are logic flow diagrams illustrating an exemplary process for vertical delegation of a subtask from a lead resource to a subordinate resource. In an alternative embodiment, the process can be for vertical delegation of a whole task from a lead resource to a subordinate resource. In this representative example, the vertical delegation process assumes a task has been delegated to the resource 2 client **235** by resource 1 client **240**. In step **610**, resource 2 client **235** performs part of the task and the remainder becomes a subtask. In step **615**, resource 2 client **235** then initiates delegation of the subtask to resource 3 client **245** by sending a delegation message to the Internet information server **235**. The Internet information server **235** processes the message and forwards it to the project management central server **215**, which in turn forwards it to the delegation component **220**. In step **620**, the delegation component **220** sends the delegation information to the database **210**. In step **625**, the Internet

information server **225** communicates the subtask delegation to the resource 3 client **245** and to the project manager client **230**.

In step **630**, the resource 3 client **245** decides whether to accept the delegation. If there is an affirmative response, the “yes” branch leads to step **635** where the subtask remains with the resource 3 client **245**. If the response is negative, the “no” branch leads to step **640** where the resource 3 client **245** sends a subtask delegation rejection message to the Internet information server **225**. The Internet information server **225** processes the rejection message and forwards it to the project management central server **215**, which forwards the information to the delegation component **220**. In step **645** the delegation component **220** sends the rejection information to the database **210**. In step **650**, the Internet information server communicates the subtask delegation rejection to the resource 1 client **240**, the resource 2 client **235**, and the project manager client **230**.

In step **660**, the project manager client **230** decides whether to approve or reject the subtask delegation. If approved, the “yes” branch is followed to step **665** where the subtask remains with the resource 3 client **245**. If rejected, the “no” branch is followed to step **670** where the project manager client **230** sends a subtask delegation rejection message to the Internet information server **225**. The Internet information server **225** processes the rejection message and forwards it to the project management central server **215**, which forwards the information to the delegation component **220**. In step **675** the delegation component communicates the rejection information to the database **210**. In step **680**, the Internet information server **225** communicates the rejection to the resource 1 client **240**, resource 2 client **235**, and resource 3 client **245**.

FIG. 7 is a logic flow diagram for a process whereby a lead resource client requests an update from a subordinate resource client in a vertical delegation context. The process begins at step **710** with the resource 2 client **235** choosing to become a team lead. In step **715**, the resource 2 client **235** requests a subtask status update from the resource 3 client **245**. This is initiated in step **720** where the resource 2 client **235** sends a request for a subtask status update to the project management central server **215**. At step **725**, the project management central server **215** forwards the subtask status update request to the resource 3 client **245**. In step **730**, the resource 3 client **245** responds by sending a subtask status update to the project management central server **215**, which in turn, forwards the response to the resource 2 client **235** in step **735**.

At step 740, the resource 2 client 235 decides whether to approve or reject the subtask status update. If the decision is approval, the “yes” branch is followed to step 745 and the resource 2 client 235 forwards the subtask status update to the project manager client 230. If the decision is rejection, the “no” branch is followed to step 750 where the resource 2 client 235 requests a new subtask status update from the resource 3 client 245. In step 755, the new subtask status request is sent from the resource 2 client 235 to the project management central server 215. The project management central server 215 forwards the new subtask status request to the resource 3 client 245 in step 760 and the resource 3 client 245 responds by sending a new subtask status update to the project management central server 215 in step 765. At step 770, the project management central server 215 forwards the new subtask status update to the resource 2 client 235 where approval or rejection must again be decided at step 740.

FIG. 8 illustrates a representative example of database structures. Each task within the project can be given a task name 820 and a task identification number 815, which will facilitate tracking and monitoring the task as it is delegated and completed. Management of the project is further aided with identifying numbers assigned to each resource and to each delegation event. An assignment table 810 sets forth the task identification number 815, the task name 820, the identification number of the resource client to which the task is assigned 825, and the identification number of the team lead resource client 830, if there is one. A delegation table 835 may contain an identification number for each delegation of a task that occurs 840, a resource 1 client identification number 845, a resource 2 client identification number 850, the date 855 of the delegation, and an indicator of whether the delegation was rejected 860. A delegation-task table 865 can correlate delegation identification numbers 840 with task identification numbers 815. A delegation-task table 865 can also set forth whether the project manager client approved the delegation 870, whether the resource client accepted the delegation 875, and whether either wants to retain a copy of the task to track its progress 880. A message table 885 can contain delegation identification numbers 840 and any corresponding message 890 about the delegation.

In summary, the present invention enables and supports the delegation of tasks and collaboration among resources in a project management context. The invention allows a project manager to control the delegation of tasks or to leave delegation decisions to resources. The

invention also allows resources to further define, subdivide, and delegate tasks. Information about each task and each delegation is recorded for the project manager.

It will be appreciated that the present invention fulfills the needs of the prior art described herein and meets the above-stated objects. While there has been shown and described
5 the preferred embodiment of the invention, it will be evident to those skilled in the art that various modifications and changes may be made thereto without departing from the spirit and the scope of the invention as set forth in the appended claims and equivalence thereof.

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method of making a delegation of a task comprising the steps of:
5 sending a signal from a first resource client to a server indicating that the task is being delegated to a second resource client;
sending a record of the task delegation from the server to a storage medium; and
sending the task delegation information from the server to the second resource client and to a project manager client.
10
2. The method of Claim 1 wherein the second resource client accepts the task delegation.
3. The method of Claim 1, further comprising the steps of:
sending a task delegation rejection signal from the second resource client to the server;
15 sending a record of the task delegation rejection from the server to the storage medium;
and
sending the task delegation rejection information from the server to the first resource client and to the project manager client.
- 20 4. The method of Claim 1 wherein the project manager approves the delegation.
5. The method of Claim 1, further comprising the steps of:
sending a delegation rejection signal from the project manager client to the server;
sending a record of the delegation rejection from the server to the storage medium; and
25 sending the delegation rejection information from the server to the first resource client and the second resource client.
6. The method of Claim 1, further comprising the steps of:
sending an update request from the project manager client to the server;
30 retrieving the task ownership information from the storage medium; and
sending the task ownership information from the server to the project manager client.

7. The method of Claim 1 wherein approval of the task delegation by the project manager client is automatic.

5 8. A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps recited in claim 1.

9. A method of making a delegation of a task and a subtask comprising the steps of:
sending a signal from a first resource client to a server indicating that the task is being
delegated to a second resource client;
5 sending a record of the task delegation from the server to a storage medium;
sending the task delegation information from the server to the second resource client and
to a project manager client;
sending a signal from the second resource client to the server indicating that the subtask
is being delegated to a subordinate third resource client;
10 sending a record of the subtask delegation from the server to the storage medium; and
sending the subtask delegation information from the server to the third resource client
and to the project manager client.

10. The method of Claim 9 wherein the subordinate third resource client accepts the
subtask delegation.

11. The method of Claim 9, further comprising the steps of:
sending a subtask delegation rejection signal from the subordinate third resource client to
the server;
20 sending a record of the subtask delegation rejection from the server to the storage
medium; and
sending the subtask delegation rejection information from the server to the first resource
client, the second resource client, and the project manager client.

12. The method of Claim 9 wherein the project manager client approves the subtask
delegation.

13. The method of Claim 9, further comprising the steps of:
sending a subtask delegation rejection signal from the project manager client to the
30 server;
sending a record of the subtask delegation rejection from the server to the storage
medium; and

sending the subtask delegation rejection information from the server to the first resource client, the second resource client, and the subordinate third resource client.

14. The method of Claim 9, further comprising the steps of:

designating the second resource client as a team lead;

sending a subtask status update request from the second resource client to the server;

sending the subtask status update request from the server to the subordinate third resource client;

sending a subtask status update from the subordinate third resource client to the server;

sending the subtask status update from the server to the second resource client;

the second resource client approving the subtask status update; and

forwarding the subtask status update from the second resource client to the project manager client.

15. The method of Claim 9, further comprising the steps of:

designating the second resource client as a team lead;

sending a subtask status update request from the second resource client to the server;

sending the subtask status update request from the server to the subordinate third resource client;

sending a subtask status update from the subordinate third resource client to the server;

sending the subtask status update from the server to the second resource client; and

the second resource client rejecting the subtask status update.

16. A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps recited in Claim 9.

17. A distributed computer network for delegating tasks within a project comprising:
a server;

a first project management program module utilized by the server and operable for

5 managing the delegation of tasks among resources;

a memory storage device coupled to the server and operable for storing information about
tasks and to which resource they are delegated;

a collection of clients coupled to the server and capable of delegating tasks and
communicating information about such tasks; and

10 a second project management program module utilized by one of the clients capable of
managing a project and controlling the delegation of tasks.

18. The network of Claim 17, wherein the server is further operable for:

receiving delegation signals from the clients;

15 sending delegation information to the clients;

sending delegation information to the memory storage device; and

retrieving delegation information from the memory storage device.

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DELEGATION IN A PROJECT MANAGEMENT CONTEXT

Abstract of the Disclosure

5

10

A system implemented on a computer network for delegating tasks within a project to achieve collaboration among resources in a team environment. Components of the computer network permit communication among a project manager and resources working on the project. Task delegations can be performed horizontally from the project manager to resources and vertically among resources. The project manager can monitor the delegations or can allow the resources to control the delegations. Resources can also further define and subdivide tasks. Ownership of tasks and other information about the status of the tasks can be stored and assembled in various useful formats for the project manager.

15

K&S Docket: 06576-105025




FIG. 1

Architecture





FIG. 2

Horizontal Delegation



330




FIG. 3B

360




FIG. 3C

Update Process

370



410

PM Central Server
Retrieves Task
Ownership Data
from Database



415


PM Central Server
Sends Task
Ownership Data
to PM Client



420


New Ownership
Data Loaded into
Software Module
Operating on PM
Client

FIG. 4

**FIG. 5**

600

FIG. 6A






FIG. 6B

660




FIG. 6C

Vertical Delegation - Team Lead Update Request




FIG. 7

Database Structures

810
Assignment
Table

815 Task ID	820 Name	825 Resource ID	830 Resource ID - Team Lead

FIG. 8A

835
Delegation
Table

840 Delegation ID	845 R1 ID	850 R2 ID	855 Date	860 Reject

FIG. 8B

Delegation -
Assignment
Table

840 Delegation ID	815 Assignment ID	870 Approve (PM Client)	875 Accept (R2)	880 Track (Retain Copy)

FIG. 8C

885
Message
Table

890 Message ID	840 Delegation ID

FIG. 8D

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEYAttorney's Docket No. **06576.105025** (MS No. 150514.1)In re Application of: **Francis Robert Burianek, Tanasak Huangyutitham, Ying Wang and Izi Behar**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **System and Method for Delegation in a Project Management Context**, the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the same was ever known or used by others in the United States of America before my or our invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my or our invention thereof or more than one year prior to the date of this application. I further state that the invention was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to the date of this application. *I understand that I have a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office*, and I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 (a)-(d) of the foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below, and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate disclosing subject matter in common with the above-identified specification and having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Priority Claimed Under 35 USC §119</u>
None			Yes _____ No <u>X</u>

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

<u>None</u>			
(Application No.)	(Filing Date)	(Application No.)	(Filing Date)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter disclosed and claimed in the present application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

<u>Application Serial No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Status: patented, pending, abandoned</u>
None		

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statement were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents issuing thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: The following are hereby appointed to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Sherry M. Knowles-33,052; W. Scott Petty-35,645; Clark G. Sullivan-36,942; Holmes J. Hawkins-38,913; Steven P. Wigmore-40,447; Curtis L. Doster-41,714; Charles Vorndran-45,315; Lisa K. Norton-44,977; Katie E. Sako-32,628; Daniel D. Crouse-32,022.

Send correspondence to: **King & Spalding**
191 Peachtree Street, N.E., 45th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Direct telephone calls at **(404) 572-4600**

W. Scott Petty

Full name of sole or first inventor: Francis Robert Burianek	Citizenship: South Africa
Inventor's signature <i>Francis Robert Burianek</i>	Date: <i>10/31/2000</i>
Residence and Post Office Address: 10812 Forbes Creek Drive V-201, Kirkland, Washington 98033	

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEYAttorney's Docket No. **06576.105025** (MS No. 150514.1)In re Application of: **Francis Robert Burianek, Tanasak Huangyutitham, Ying Wang and Izi Behar**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **System and Method for Delegation in a Project Management Context**, the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the same was ever known or used by others in the United States of America before my or our invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my or our invention thereof or more than one year prior to the date of this application. I further state that the invention was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to the date of this application. *I understand that I have a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office*, and I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 (a)-(d) of the foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below, and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate disclosing subject matter in common with the above-identified specification and having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Priority Claimed Under 35 USC §119</u>		
None			Yes	No	<u>X</u>

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

<u>None</u>			
(Application No.)	(Filing Date)	(Application No.)	(Filing Date)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter disclosed and claimed in the present application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

<u>Application Serial No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Status: patented, pending, abandoned</u>
None		


I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statement were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents issuing thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: The following are hereby appointed to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Sherry M. Knowles-33,052; W. Scott Petty-35,645; Clark G. Sullivan-36,942; Holmes J. Hawkins-38,913; Steven P. Wigmore-40,447; Curtis L. Doster-41,714; Charles Vorndran-45,315; Lisa K. Norton-44,977; Katie E. Sako-32,628; Daniel D. Crouse-32,022.

Send correspondence to: **King & Spalding**
191 Peachtree Street, N.E., 45th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Direct telephone calls at **(404) 572-4600**

W. Scott Petty

Full name of sole or first inventor: Tanasak Huangyutitham	Citizenship: Thailand
Inventor's signature 	Date: 10/31/00
Residence and Post Office Address: 11203 184 th Place NE #D3103, Redmond, Washington 98052	

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEYAttorney's Docket No. **06576.105025** (MS No. 150514.1)In re Application of: **Francis Robert Burianek, Tanasak Huangyutitham, Ying Wang and Izi Behar**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **System and Method for Delegation in a Project Management Context**, the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the same was ever known or used by others in the United States of America before my or our invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my or our invention thereof or more than one year prior to the date of this application. I further state that the invention was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to the date of this application. *I understand that I have a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office*, and I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 (a)-(d) of the foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below, and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate disclosing subject matter in common with the above-identified specification and having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Priority Claimed Under 35 USC §119</u>
None			Yes _____ No <u>X</u>

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

<u>None</u>			
(Application No.)	(Filing Date)	(Application No.)	(Filing Date)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter disclosed and claimed in the present application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

<u>Application Serial No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Status: patented, pending, abandoned</u>
None		


I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statement were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents issuing thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: The following are hereby appointed to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Sherry M. Knowles-33,052; W. Scott Petty-35,645; Clark G. Sullivan-36,942; Holmes J. Hawkins-38,913; Steven P. Wigmore-40,447; Curtis L. Doster-41,714; Charles Vorndran-45,315; Lisa K. Norton-44,977; Katie E. Sako-32,628; Daniel D. Crouse-32,022.

Send correspondence to: **King & Spalding**
191 Peachtree Street, N.E., 45th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Direct telephone calls at **(404) 572-4600**

W. Scott Petty

Full name of sole or first inventor: Ying Wang	Citizenship: Peoples' Republic of China
Inventor's signature 	Date: 10/31/2000
Residence and Post Office Address: 15203 NE 15 th Street, Apt #3210, Bellevue, Washington 98007	

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEYAttorney's Docket No. **06576.105025** (MS No. 150514.1)In re Application of: **Francis Robert Burianek, Tanasak Huangyutitham, Ying Wang and Izi Behar**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **System and Method for Delegation in a Project Management Context**, the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the same was ever known or used by others in the United States of America before my or our invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my or our invention thereof or more than one year prior to the date of this application. I further state that the invention was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to the date of this application. *I understand that I have a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office*, and I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 (a)-(d) of the foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below, and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate disclosing subject matter in common with the above-identified specification and having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Priority Claimed Under 35 USC §119</u>
None			Yes _____ No <u>X</u>

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

<u>None</u>			
(Application No.)	(Filing Date)	(Application No.)	(Filing Date)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter disclosed and claimed in the present application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

<u>Application Serial No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Status: patented, pending, abandoned</u>
None		


I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statement were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents issuing thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: The following are hereby appointed to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Sherry M. Knowles-33,052; W. Scott Petty-35,645; Clark G. Sullivan-36,942; Holmes J. Hawkins-38,913; Steven P. Wigmore-40,447; Curtis L. Doster-41,714; Charles Vorndran-45,315; Lisa K. Norton-44,977; Katie E. Sako-32,628; Daniel D. Crouse-32,022.

Send correspondence to: **King & Spalding**
191 Peachtree Street, N.E., 45th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Direct telephone calls at **(404) 572-4600**

W. Scott Petty

Full name of sole or first inventor: Izi Behar	Citizenship: USA
Inventor's signature 	Date: 10/31/2000
Residence and Post Office Address: 1100 Nob Hill Avenue N, Seattle, Washington 98109	